

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

	I		T	·
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,437	08/01/2003	Laurent Mollicone	MS303988.01	2858
69316 MICROSOFT	7590 05/09/200 CORPORATION	8	EXAMINER	
ONE MICROSOFT WAY			RUTLEDGE, AMELIA L	
REDMOND, V	WA 98052		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/632,437
 MOLLICONE ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 AMELIA RUTLEDGE
 2176

,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	AMELIA RUTLEDGE	2176				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Amelia Rutledge - PTO</u> .	(3)					
(2) Mark Niemann - applicant's representative.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>05 February 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative	•]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 20.						
Identification of prior art discussed: Wang, Santos.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed ways in which the claims could possibly be amended to overcome the prior art of record (Wang and Santos). He claims may be amended to clairly the fact that the invention is related to electronic forms and data entry fields. Compared the features of applicant's invention to the prior art of record. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Amelia Rutledge/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176					
	Examiner's signature, if requi	red				

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Us Patert and Trachman (1904)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20080505